

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Maternal Vitamin D Status and Its Associated Environmental Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study**Woon Fui Chee¹, Arif Sabta Aji^{2,3}, Nur Indrawaty Lipoeto⁴, Chin Yit Siew^{1,5*}**OPEN ACCESS**

Citation: Woon Fui Chee, Arif Sabta Aji, Nur Indrawaty Lipoeto, Chin Yit Siew. Maternal Vitamin D Status and Its Associated Environmental Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2022;32(5):885.doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v32i5.3

Received: April 26, 2022

Accepted: July 9, 2022

Published: September 1, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Woon F.C., et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The study conducted in Indonesia was funded by Indonesian Danone Institute Foundation (007/ROG-D/IDIF/X2016) and the Indonesia's Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education (050/SP2HL/LT/DRPM/2018). The study conducted in Malaysia was funded by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (04-01-15-1670FR).

Competing Interests: The authors declare that this manuscript was approved by all authors in its form and that no competing interest exists.

Affiliation and Correspondence:

¹Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

²Graduate School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Alma Ata University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

³Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Alma Ata University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

⁴Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra, Indonesia

⁵Research Centre of Excellence, Nutrition and Non-communicable Diseases, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

*Email: chinys@upm.edu.my

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: *Vitamin D deficiency is common among women during pregnancy. This study aims to determine the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and their shared modifiable environmental factors among pregnant women in Indonesia and Malaysia.*

METHODS: *Blood samples of 844 third-trimester pregnant women (Indonesians: 311; Malaysians: 533) were collected to determine their serum 25(OH) D levels. Information on sun exposure and sun protection behaviours were obtained through face-to-face interviews. Dietary vitamin D intake was assessed by using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire.*

RESULTS: *The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (<30 nmol/L) among Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women were 42.4% and 72.0%, respectively. Percentage of exposed body surface area was inversely associated with vitamin D deficiency among Indonesian pregnant women (OR = 0.21, 95% CI = 0.09-0.48). Among Malaysian pregnant women, higher intakes of dietary vitamin D were associated with lower risk of vitamin D deficiency (OR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.29-0.81). Analysis of the combined cohorts revealed a lower risk of vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women who had a daily intake of at least 15 mcg vitamin D (OR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.38-0.88) and exposure of more than 27% body surface area to the sunlight (OR = 0.30, 95% CI = 0.16-0.60).*

CONCLUSION: *Despite abundant sunshine, vitamin D deficiency is prevalent among pregnant women in tropical countries. The present study suggests that nutrition education on vitamin D intake and sun exposure during pregnancy is necessary for primary prevention of vitamin D deficiency in pregnant women living in the tropical countries.*

KEYWORDS: *Vitamin D, pregnancy, intake, body surface area, Indonesia, Malaysia*

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D, a fat-soluble secosteroid, has long been recognised for its importance in musculoskeletal health (1). Sun exposure is the main source of vitamin D, which is mainly influenced by seasons, duration of exposure, size of exposed body surface area, skin pigmentation, dress habits, and sunscreen use (2). Apart from sunlight, vitamin D can be obtained from foods such as oily fish (salmon, sardines and mackerel), egg yolk, cheese, beef liver, and supplements (3).

Despite the lack of consensus on an optimal vitamin D level, vitamin D inadequacy (defined as 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] <50 nmol/L (4)) is a universal health problem that affects populations of all ages, races, and geographical locations (5). Pregnant women are one of the vulnerable groups for vitamin D inadequacy. During pregnancy, maternal vitamin D metabolism changes significantly to attain fetal bone mineral accretion (6). Vitamin D status of the pregnant women determines the vitamin D status of her newborn infant (7). Poor vitamin D status during pregnancy could lead to adverse maternal and fetal outcomes such as preeclampsia, emergency cesarean section delivery, and premature birth (8-10). Emerging evidence over the past few years have demonstrated the important role of maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy in fetal programming, leading to several non-skeletal outcomes such as malnutrition and allergic diseases in the offspring (11,12).

Indonesia (0°N) and Malaysia (2°N) are two neighboring tropical countries located right next to the equator that receive plentiful of sunshine throughout the year. Minangkabau are the majority ethnic group in West Sumatra, Indonesia (13), while Malays are the largest ethnic group in Peninsular Malaysia (14). Both Minangkabau and Malays share the similar religious and cultural practices where their primary religion is Islam and wearing a *Hijab*, a veil covering the head and chest, and clothing that covers most part of the body except face, hands, and feet, is commonly practiced by Muslim women (15). Meanwhile, both Minangkabau and Malays shared similar physical characteristics, in which a majority of them have brown (type IV) or dark brown (type V) skin colour according to the Fitzpatrick's scale (16-18).

Despite abundance of sunlight, previous studies have revealed a widespread of vitamin D inadequacy among third-trimester pregnant women living in Indonesia and Malaysia, with prevalence range from 45.5% to 82.2% (16,17). Concealing clothing due to cultural and religion reasons reduces the exposure of skin to the sunlight and subsequently increases the risk of vitamin D inadequacy among pregnant women,

despite living in tropical countries (17,19). Apart from concealing clothing, there is lack of vitamin D fortification in foods and very few natural foods have high vitamin D content in both Indonesia and Malaysia (20). Vitamin D fortification in food products such as bread, breakfast cereals, dairy products, and fruit juices in Indonesia and Malaysia are based on a voluntary basis by the food manufacturers (21).

To date, a few studies have assessed vitamin D status of pregnant women living in the tropics and it is less clear about their vitamin D intake and sun exposure behaviours (16,17,19). While population of both Indonesia and Malaysia share similar characteristics such as religion, culture, and skin colour, no published studies have investigated their shared risk factors of vitamin D deficiency. As both Indonesia and Malaysia are located right next to the equator, this provides an opportunity to assess vitamin D status in the absence of seasonal variation in ultraviolet radiation exposure. Therefore, this study aims to determine the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and their shared modifiable environmental factors among pregnant women in tropical countries (Indonesia and Malaysia). The shared environmental factors identified in the present study can be targeted in possible future intervention strategies for primary prevention of vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women living in tropical countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and subjects: This study used data from the Vitamin D of Pregnant Mothers (VDPM) conducted between September 2016 and March 2018 and data from the Mother and Infant Cohort Study Malaysia (MICOS) conducted between November 2016 and January 2018. The design and methodology of these two studies have been described in detail elsewhere (22-24). Briefly, pregnant women with a singleton pregnancy and attending the selected public health clinics for regular antenatal check-ups were recruited during the third trimester of pregnancy (≥ 28 weeks of gestation). A total of 311 pregnant women from the West Sumatra province in Western Indonesia (0°N, 102°E) and 533 pregnant women from the state of Selangor (3°N, 101°E) and the Federal

Territory of Kuala Lumpur (3°N, 101°E), Malaysia who completed the study were included in analyses.

Data collection: Information on characteristics of the respondents including age at conception, ethnicity, educational level, monthly household income, work status, and parity were obtained through a face-to-face interview. Data on pre-pregnancy body weight and height were extracted from antenatal care records. Pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated and classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines (25).

Maternal vitamin D intake and supplementation were assessed using a validated semi-quantitative vitamin D food frequency questionnaire specifically developed for the respondents in Indonesia (26) and Malaysia (27), respectively. Briefly, the questionnaire consists of natural foods rich in vitamin D, foods that were fortified with vitamin D, and dietary supplements that contained vitamin D. Due to non-availability of vitamin D in Indonesian and Malaysian food composition tables, the vitamin D content of natural foods was obtained from the United States Department of Agriculture National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (USDA) (28), while vitamin D content of the fortified food products and dietary supplements was obtained from the products' label. Daily vitamin D intake was calculated and compared with the Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for Indonesian pregnant women (29) and Recommended Nutrient Intakes (RNI) for Malaysian pregnant women, respectively (30). The RDA and RNI for vitamin D in Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women were 15 mcg per day, respectively (29,30). Vitamin D intake was further classified as adequate (≥ 15 mcg) and inadequate (< 15 mcg) according to the recommended intake.

Pregnant women were required to recall the amount of time they spent outdoors, the clothing they wore, and sunscreen used in the past week (31,32). Duration of sun exposure was calculated as the average time spent in the sun daily during their leisure and working time. As findings from a previous study suggested that Muslim women wearing the *hijab* should expose to the sunlight for

at least 65 minutes per day in order to satisfy the body's requirement for vitamin D (19), the duration of sun exposure in the present study was further categorised into " < 60 minutes" and " ≥ 60 minutes". Percentage of body surface area (BSA) exposed to sunlight was estimated by using the "Rule of Nine" (32) and categorised into " $< 27\%$ " and " $\geq 27\%$ ", as proposed by Perampalam *et al.* (33) in order to induce an increase in vitamin D level.

Laboratory analysis: A venous blood sample of 2mL was collected from the pregnant women at third trimester during their routine antenatal check-up at the selected health clinics and gestation age at blood withdrawal was recorded. Blood samples of 311 Indonesian pregnant women were sent to the Biomedical Laboratory at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Andalas and were analysed using ELISA from Diagnostic Biochemistry Canada (DBC) 25(OH)D (DBC, London, Ontario Canada). The assay has an intra-assay coefficient of variation of 5.8% at 25.32 ng/mL and 1.39% at 17.95 ng/mL and the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 8.4% at 24.6 ng/mL and 5.52% at 24.26 ng/mL, respectively. Meanwhile, the blood samples of 533 Malaysian pregnant women were sent to the laboratory at Pantai Premier Pathology, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia and were analysed by using the Siemens ADVIA Centaur Vitamin D Total assay (Siemens, Tarrytown, NY, USA). The assay has been standardised to the University of Ghent reference measurement procedure and has achieved the Centers for Disease Control Vitamin D Standardization Certification (34,35). The serum 25(OH)D concentrations were then classified into vitamin D deficiency (< 30 nmol/L), insufficiency (< 50 nmol/L) and sufficiency (≥ 50 nmol/L) according to the National Academy of Medicine, formerly known as Institute of Medicine (IOM) (4).

Data analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software (IBM SPSS Armonk, NY). Due to the low number of pregnant women with sufficient vitamin D level (≥ 50 nmol/L), there may be insufficient statistical power to detect the significant associations between the environmental factors and maternal

vitamin D status. Therefore, we decided to re-categorise the vitamin D status into non-deficient (≥ 30 nmol/L) and deficient (< 30 nmol/L) group. Associations between environmental factors and vitamin D deficiency were assessed using a multivariable generalised linear mixed model with country of origin and pregnant women entered as random effect. Vitamin D intake, intake of vitamin D supplements, duration of sun exposure, percentage of BSA exposed to the sunlight, and sunscreen application were entered as fixed effect. Vitamin D status was entered as outcome variable with the non-deficient group as reference group. Multivariable model was adjusted for potential confounding variables significantly associated with vitamin D deficiency identified from the univariable model and previous literature. Data were presented as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). The statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethics approval: Study conducted in Indonesia was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty, Andalas University, West Sumatra, Indonesia (108/KEP/FK/2016), while study conducted in Malaysia was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects, Universiti Putra Malaysia [FPSK(FR16)P006] and the Medical Research and Ethics Committee (MREC), Ministry of Health Malaysia (NMRR-16-1047-30685). Written informed consent was obtained from all respondents prior to data collection.

RESULTS

Characteristics of pregnant women: In total, 844 third-trimester pregnant women were included in the present study, of which 311 of them were Indonesians and 533 were Malaysians, respectively (Table 1). The mean daily intake of vitamin D was significantly higher among pregnant women in Malaysia (10.2 ± 7.9 mcg) than those in Indonesia (8.5 ± 6.1 mcg) ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, the proportion of those who achieved the recommended vitamin D intake of ≥ 15 mcg daily was significantly higher among the Malaysian pregnant women (25.7%) as compared to the Indonesian pregnant women (13.5%) ($p < 0.001$). Malaysian pregnant women (33.6%) were more likely to consume vitamin D supplements during the third trimester of pregnancy as compared to the Indonesian counterparts (24.4%) ($p = 0.005$). Compared to the Malaysian pregnant women (4.5%), the Indonesian pregnant women (47.3%) spent more time outdoors and exposed to the sunlight for ≥ 60 minutes daily ($p < 0.001$). The proportion of pregnant women who exposed $\geq 27\%$ of their BSA to the sunlight (13.8% vs. 3.0%) and applied sunscreen (72.7% vs. 41.3%) were significantly higher among the Indonesian pregnant women than the Malaysian pregnant women ($p < 0.001$).

Maternal vitamin D status in third trimester of pregnancy: The mean serum 25 (OH)D concentrations was significantly higher among Malaysian pregnant women as compared to the Indonesian pregnant women ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2). Compared to Malaysian pregnant women (42.4%), Indonesian pregnant women (72.0%) had a significantly higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency ($p < 0.001$).

Table 1: Characteristics of 844 third trimester pregnant women.

Characteristics	Indonesian n = 311	Malaysian n = 533	p-value
Location, n (%)			
Rural	152 (48.9)	0	<0.001
Urban	159 (51.1)	533 (100.0)	
Age at conception, mean (SD), years	29.7 (5.9)	30.0 (4.1)	0.50
Gestational age at blood withdrawal, mean (SD), weeks	31.5 (2.8)	32.1 (3.6)	0.007
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Malay	0	492 (92.3)	<0.001
Minangkabau	294 (94.5)	0	
Others *	17 (5.5)	41 (7.7)	
Educational level, n (%)			
Primary	68 (21.9)	0	<0.001
Secondary	163 (52.4)	97 (18.2)	
Tertiary	80 (25.7)	436 (81.8)	
Monthly household income, n (%) [†]			
Low	101 (32.5)	91 (17.1)	<0.001
Moderate	91 (29.2)	280 (52.5)	
High	119 (38.3)	162 (30.4)	
Work status, n (%)			
Non-working	216 (69.5)	164 (30.8)	<0.001
Working	95 (30.5)	369 (69.2)	
Parity, n (%)			
Primiparous	90 (28.9)	225 (42.2)	<0.001
Multiparous	221 (71.1)	308 (57.8)	
Pre-pregnancy BMI, n (%), kg/m ²			
Underweight (<18.5)	45 (14.5)	49 (9.2)	0.005
Normal weight (18.5-24.9)	180 (57.9)	287 (53.8)	
Overweight/Obesity (≥25.0)	86 (27.7)	197 (37.0)	
Mean (SD)	22.9 (4.3)	24.1 (4.9)	<0.001
Dietary vitamin D intake per day, n (%), mcg			
<15	269 (86.5)	396 (74.3)	<0.001
≥15	42 (13.5)	137 (25.7)	
Mean (SD)	8.5 (6.1)	10.2 (7.9)	<0.001
Intake of supplements containing vitamin D, n (%)			
No	235 (75.6)	354 (66.4)	0.005
Yes	76 (24.4)	179 (33.6)	
Duration of sun exposure per day, n (%), min			
<60	164 (52.7)	509 (95.5)	<0.001
≥60	147 (47.3)	24 (4.5)	
Median (IQR) ‡	50.0 (30.0, 75.0)	4.3 (0, 17.1)	<0.001
Body surface area exposed to sunlight per day, n (%), %			
≤27	268 (86.2)	517 (97.0)	<0.001
>27	43 (13.8)	16 (3.0)	
Sunscreen application, n (%)			
No	85 (27.3)	313 (58.7)	<0.001
Yes	226 (72.7)	220 (41.3)	

BMI - body mass index, IQR - interquartile range, SD - standard deviation

* Other ethnicities included Chinese, Indian, Javanese, and Batak.

[†] Monthly household income was categorised as low (<IDR2,000,000; <RM2300), moderate (IDR2,000,000-IDR3,000,000; RM2300-RM5599), and high (>IDR3,000,000; ≥RM5600). 1 US dollar = Indonesian Rupiah (IDR) 14,345 = Malaysian Ringgit (RM) 4.19 (as of Feb 14, 2022).

[‡] Data presented as median (IQR) for non-normally distributed data

Table 2: Vitamin D status of 844 third trimester of pregnant women.

Maternal Vitamin D Status, n (%) (nmol/L)	Indonesia n (%) n = 311	Malaysia n (%) n = 533	p-value
Deficiency (<30)	224 (72.0)	226 (42.4)	<0.001
Insufficiency (30-49.9)	79 (25.4)	264 (49.5)	
Sufficiency (≥50)	8 (2.6)	43 (8.1)	
Mean (SD)	24.4 (11.5)	33.9 (12.9)	<0.001

Factors associated with maternal vitamin D deficiency: Results from the adjusted multivariable model (Table 3) shows that exposure of BSA of ≥27% was associated with lowered odds of vitamin D deficiency in Indonesian pregnant women (OR=0.21, 95%CI=0.09-0.48). On the other hand, Malaysian pregnant women who had ≥15 mcg of vitamin D intake from food daily were less likely to have vitamin D deficiency (OR=0.48, 95%CI=0.29-0.81). When data from

both countries were combined, results from the adjusted multivariable model indicates that the odds of having vitamin D deficiency were reduced by 42% in pregnant women who had ≥15 mcg vitamin D intake daily (OR=0.58, 95%CI=0.38-0.88). Meanwhile, pregnant women who exposed ≥27% of BSA to the sunlight were less likely to have vitamin D deficiency (OR=0.30, 95%CI=0.16-0.60).

Table 3: Multivariable generalized linear mixed model of environmental factors associated with maternal vitamin D deficiency

	Indonesia		Malaysia		Combined Cohorts	
	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted * OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted * OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted * OR (95% CI)
Dietary vitamin D intake per day, mcg						
<15	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
≥15	0.94 (0.41-2.17)	1.04 (0.44-2.47)	0.56 (0.29-1.09)	0.48 (0.29-0.81)	0.62 (0.41-0.92)	0.58 (0.38-0.88)
Intake of supplements containing vitamin D						
No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Yes	1.19 (0.61-2.33)	1.13 (0.57-2.26)	0.72 (0.39-1.30)	0.90 (0.55-1.47)	0.83 (0.58-1.19)	0.96 (0.66-1.40)
Duration of sun exposure per day, min						
<60	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
≥60	0.80 (0.46-1.37)	0.74 (0.41-1.35)	1.47 (0.44-4.85)	1.57 (0.62-4.00)	0.94 (0.60-1.46)	0.87 (0.54-1.40)
Body surface area exposed to sunlight per day, %						
<27	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
≥27	0.36 (0.18-0.74)	0.21 (0.90-0.48)	0.32 (0.06-1.87)	0.54 (0.13-2.27)	0.35 (0.19-0.62)	0.30 (0.16-0.60)
Sunscreen application						
Yes	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
No	0.66 (0.36-0.19)	0.74 (0.40-1.39)	0.98 (0.60-1.60)	1.10 (0.75-1.62)	0.86 (0.64-1.17)	0.97 (0.71-1.33)

OR - odds ratio, CI - confidence interval

*Model adjusted for location, age at conception, gestational age at blood withdrawal, ethnicity, educational level, work status, monthly household income, parity, and pre-pregnancy BMI

DISCUSSION

The present study shows that vitamin D deficiency is widespread among pregnant women living in tropical countries, with 72.0% among Indonesian pregnant women and 42.4% among Malaysian pregnant women. We found that compliance of vitamin D intake according to the dietary guidelines and exposure of larger surface areas to the sun are protective against maternal vitamin D deficiency.

In the present study, results from the separate analysis for the two countries showed that the percentage of exposed BSA was inversely associated with the risk of vitamin D deficiency among Indonesian pregnant women, while no association was found among Malaysian pregnant women. In contrast, adequate intake of dietary vitamin D was associated with lower risk of vitamin D deficiency among Malaysian pregnant women, while no association was found among Indonesian pregnant women. Our results are consistent with previous studies that the percentage of exposed BSA was significantly associated with maternal serum 25(OH)D levels among Indonesian pregnant women (17,19). Similarly, a recent study reported that maternal vitamin D intake is a protective factor against vitamin D deficiency in Malaysian pregnant women (16). In the present study, Indonesian pregnant women spent significantly more time outdoor as compared to the Malaysian pregnant women and thus the percentage of exposed BSA plays an important role in determining the amount of the sunlight that reach the skin and converts into vitamin D. On the other hand, the Malaysian pregnant women in the present study were recruited from the urban areas and sunlight is not a major source of vitamin D due to lack of involvement in outdoor activities, which is indicated by short duration of sun exposure and thus have to obtain vitamin D through dietary intake.

Contradictory to previous study (16), we found no significant associations between the intake of supplements containing vitamin D and vitamin D deficiency among Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women. The non-significant associations may be explained by the low

consumption of vitamin D containing supplements during pregnancy in both Indonesian and Malaysian women. Limited prevalence data to address the issue of vitamin D deficiency, lack of awareness on the health consequences of poor vitamin D status, and no specific recommendations on vitamin D supplementation might explain the low vitamin D supplementation in the present study (37).

Our study did not find an association between the duration of sun exposure and vitamin D deficiency in both Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women, which supports the findings from previous studies conducted in tropical countries (17,36). The non-significant association between duration of sun exposure and vitamin D status is likely to be explained by the sun protection behaviours such as sunscreen application and concealed dress styles. Majority of the pregnant women in our study are Muslim who wear *hijab*, Islamic clothing that covers the whole body but leaves the face and hands exposed (approximately 13.5% of body surface area). A study conducted among Indonesian pregnant women suggested that women with *hijab* should expose to the sunlight at least 64.5 minutes per day in order to satisfy the body's requirement for vitamin D (19). The duration of sun exposure among Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women in our study are 50 minutes and 4 minutes per day, respectively, which is lower than the recommended duration, thus might not be sufficient to detect a significant difference.

In line with a study conducted among Australian pregnant women (36), no significant association was found between sunscreen application and vitamin D deficiency in both Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women. It is possible that sun avoidance behaviours in pregnant women who did not use sunscreen may have contributed to the non-significant findings in the present study. In addition, inadequate amount of sunscreen use may have little impact on the total blockage of UVB radiation (38).

In order to determine the shared modifiable environmental factors of vitamin D deficiency among Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women, we conducted a multivariable analysis using the combined data from these two countries.

After adjustment for potential confounding variables, we found that compliance to vitamin D intake according to the dietary recommendations of 15 mcg per day significantly reduces the risk of vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women in the combined cohort. However, it should be noted that the average intake of vitamin D among Indonesian and Malaysian pregnant women in our study was low and a majority of them did not achieve the recommended nutrient intakes. Given the gap between the recommended intakes of vitamin D and actual mean intakes in the present study, strategies to increase vitamin D intake are needed to prevent and control the epidemic of vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women in these two countries. As there are very few foods naturally containing vitamin D and these foods are infrequently consumed in Indonesia and Malaysia, intervention strategies such as dietary diversification may be less effective. A review by Yang et al. (20) demonstrated that fortification of edible oil with vitamin D at a level of 10 mcg/100 g could provide 3.9% to 21% of the Institute of Medicine Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) of vitamin D for adults in Southeast Asia. Thus, it is recommended that fortification of staple foods and condiments such as edible oil should be considered as one of the strategies to improve vitamin D status of pregnant women in Indonesia and Malaysia (20).

Results from the combined cohort also demonstrated that sun exposure of BSA of $\geq 27\%$ significantly reduces the risk of vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women in the present study. Thus, previous recommendations by Holick (39) that vitamin D requirement of the body can be fulfilled by exposing hands, arms, and face (approximates to 19-27% of BSA) to the sunlight for 2 to 3 times a week may not be adequate to prevent vitamin D deficiency in tropical countries. Perampalam et al. (33) suggested that $\geq 27\%$ of BSA should be exposed to the sun to induce an increase in vitamin D level. However, this suggestion may not be applicable to pregnant women wearing concealing clothing in tropical countries due to religion and cultural reasons. It is therefore recommended that women with concealing clothing should increase their duration of sun exposure to at least 64.5 minutes per day in

order to satisfy their requirement for vitamin D as proposed by Judistiani et al. (19) in a study conducted among pregnant women in West Java, Indonesia.

There are several limitations should be taken into consideration. First, results from the present study should be interpreted with caution as different vitamin D assays were used across the two cohorts. However, our objective was to determine the shared environmental factors of maternal vitamin D status in two tropical countries. Hence, the discrepancies among the assays may not affect our conclusions, as the trends in 25(OH)D concentrations usually remain the same across different assays (40). Second, self-reported data on vitamin D intake and sun exposure may be subjected to recall and reporting bias.

In conclusion, vitamin D deficiency is prevalent among pregnant women living in tropical countries despite abundant sunshine. Dietary vitamin D intake of ≥ 15 mcg daily and exposure of $\geq 27\%$ of BSA to the sunlight are protective against vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women living in tropical countries. Our study suggests that nutrition education and counseling for pregnant women in tropical countries should emphasise on vitamin D consumption and sun exposure for primary prevention of vitamin D deficiency.

REFERENCES

1. Wintermeyer E, Ihle C, Ehnert S, Stöckle U, Ochs G, de Zwart P, et al. Crucial role of vitamin D in the musculoskeletal system. *Nutr.* 2016;8(6):319.
2. Tsiaras WG, Weinstock MA. Factors influencing vitamin D status. *Acta Derm-Venereol.* 2011;91(2):115-24.
3. US Department of Agriculture. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 28. United States: Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture; 2016.
4. IOM. Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011.
5. Palacios C, Gonzalez L. Is vitamin D deficiency a major global public health

- problem? *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2014;144 Pt A:138-45.
6. Specker B. Vitamin D requirements during pregnancy. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004;80(6):1740S-7S.
 7. Pérez-López FR. Vitamin D: the secosteroid hormone and human reproduction. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2007;23(1):13-24.
 8. Shibata M, Suzuki A, Sekiya T, Sekiguchi S, Asano S, Udagawa Y, et al. High prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in pregnant Japanese women with threatened premature delivery. *J Bone Miner Metabol.* 2011;29(5):615-20.
 9. Scholl TO, Chen X, Stein P. Maternal vitamin D status and delivery by cesarean. *Nutr.* 2012;4(4):319-30.
 10. Bodnar LM, Platt RW, Simhan HN. Early-pregnancy vitamin D deficiency and risk of preterm birth subtypes. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;125(2):439-47.
 11. Eckhardt CL, Gernand AD, Roth DE, Bodnar LM. Maternal vitamin D status and infant anthropometry in a US multi-centre cohort study. *Ann Hum Biol.* 2015;42(3):217-24.
 12. Chiu CY, Huang SY, Peng YC, Tsai MH, Hua MC, Yao TC, et al. Maternal vitamin D levels are inversely related to allergic sensitization and atopic diseases in early childhood. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2015;26(4):337-43.
 13. Suryadinata L, Arifin EN, Ananta A. Indonesia's population: ethnicity and religion in a changing political landscape. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies; 2003.
 14. Department of Statistics Malaysia. Population distribution and basic demographic characteristic report 2010. Putrajaya: Department of Statistics Malaysia; 2015.
 15. Aziz R. Hijab – the Islamic dress code: its historical development, evidence from sacred sources and views of selected muslim scholars [dissertation]. South Africa: University of South Africa; 2010.
 16. Lee SS, Ling KH, Tusimin M, Subramaniam R, Rahim KF, Loh SP. Influence of vitamin D binding protein polymorphism, demographics and lifestyle factors on vitamin D status of healthy Malaysian pregnant women. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2020;20:714.
 17. Ilmiawati C, Oviana A, Friadi A, Reza M. Sunlight exposed body surface area is associated with serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) level in pregnant Minangkabau women, Indonesia. *BMC Nutr.* 2020;6:18.
 18. Fitzpatrick TB. The validity and practicality of sun-reactive skin types I through VI. *Arch Dermatol.* 1988;124:869-71.
 19. Judistiani RTD, Nirmala SA, Rahmawati M, Ghrahani R, Natalia YA, Sugianli AK, et al. Optimizing ultraviolet B radiation exposure to prevent vitamin D deficiency among pregnant women in the tropical zone: report from cohort study on vitamin D status and its impact during pregnancy in Indonesia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2019;19(1):209.
 20. Yang Z, Laillou A, Smith G, Schofield D, Moemhch-Pfanner R. A review of vitamin D fortification: implications for nutrition programming in Southeast Asia. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2013;34(2):S81-9.
 21. International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI). Report on regulatory status of micronutrient fortification in Southeast Asia. Singapore: ILSI Southeast Asia Region; 2011.
 22. Aji AS, Yerizel E, Desmawati, Lipoeto NI. The association between lifestyle and maternal vitamin D during pregnancy in West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2018;27(6):1286-93.
 23. Aji AS, Erwinda E, Rasyid R, Yusrawati Y, Malik SG, Alathari B, et al. A genetic approach to study the relationship between maternal Vitamin D status and newborn anthropometry measurements: the Vitamin D pregnant mother (VDPM) cohort study. *J Diabetes Metab Disord.* 2020;19(1):91-103.
 24. Woon FC, Chin YS, Intan Hakimah I, Chan YM, Marijka B, Amir Hamzah AL, et al. Contribution of early nutrition on the development of malnutrition and allergic diseases in the first year of life: a study protocol for the Mother and Infant Cohort Study (MICOS). *BMC Pediatr.* 2018;18:233.
 25. WHO. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry, WHO technical report series 854. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1995.
-

26. Lipoeto NI, Aji AS, Ayudia F, Faradila F, Sukma NP. Maternal vitamin D intake and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels associated with blood pressure: A cross-sectional study in Padang, West Sumatra. *Malays J Nutr.* 2018;24(3):407-15.
 27. Zaleha MI, Khadijah S, Noriklil Bukhary IB, Khor GL, Zaleha AM, Haslinda H, et al. Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire for vitamin D intake among urban pregnant women in Malaysia. *Malays J Nutr.* 2015;21(2):179-90.
 28. US Department of Agriculture. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 22. United States: Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture; 2009.
 29. Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia. Dietary Intake Reference in Indonesia. Jakarta: Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia; 2013.
 30. National Coordinating Committee for Food and Nutrition. Recommended Nutrient Intakes for Malaysia 2017. A report of the Technical Working Group on Nutritional Guidelines. Putrajaya: Ministry of Health Malaysia; 2017.
 31. Sari DK, Damanik HA, Lipoeto NI, Lubis Z. Is micro evolution in tropical country women resulting low 25(OH)D level: a cross sectional study in Indonesia. *J Nutr Food Sci.* 2014;4:1-7.
 32. Hall LM, Kimlin MG, Aronov PA, Hammock BD, Slusser JR, Woodhouse LR, et al. Vitamin D intake needed to maintain target serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in participants with low sun exposure and dark skin pigmentation is substantially higher than current recommendations. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(3):542-50.
 33. Perampalam S, Ganda K, Chow KA, et al. Vitamin D status and its predictive factors in pregnancy in 2 Australian populations. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2011;51(4):353-9.
 34. Sempos CT, Vesper HW, Phinney KW, Thienpont LM, Coates PM, Vitamin D Standardization Program. Vitamin D status as an international issue: national surveys and the problem of standardization. *Scand J Clin Lab Inv.* 2012;243:32-40.
 35. Thienpont LM, Stepman HC, Vesper HW. Standardization of measurement of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 and D2. *Scand J Clin Lab Inv.* 2012;243:41-9.
 36. Pratumvinit B, Wongkrajang P, Wataganara T, Hanyongyuth S, Nimmannit A, Chatsiricharoenkul S, et al. Maternal vitamin D status and its related factors in pregnant women in Bangkok, Thailand. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(7):e0131126.
 37. Akhtar S. Vitamin D status in South Asian populations - risks and opportunities. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2016;56(11):1925-40.
 38. Nimitphong H, Holick MF. Vitamin D status and sun exposure in southeast Asia. *Dermatoendocrinol.* 2013;5(1):34-7.
 39. Holick MF. Vitamin D: the underappreciated D-lightful hormone that is important for skeletal and cellular health. *Curr Opin Endocrinol.* 2002;9(1):87-98.
 40. Binkley N, Carter GD. Toward clarity in clinical vitamin D status assessment: 25(OH)D assay standardization. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.* 2017;46(4):885099.
-